

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 WESLIE MARTIN,

Case No. 3:22-cv-101-LRH-CLB

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

ORDER

8 WILLIAM RUEBART, *et al.*,

9 Respondents.

10 Upon review of the exhibits filed in this matter, it appears Respondents failed to comply  
11 with the redaction requirements of LR IC 6-1(a)(1)–(3) and (5). Compelling reasons exist to seal  
12 the documents as they contain personal-data identifiers, and parties cannot change filings. *See* LR  
13 IC 6-1(a)(1) (“If an individual’s Social Security number must be included, only the last four digits  
14 of that number should be used.”); LR IC 6-1(a)(2) (“If the involvement of a minor child must be  
15 mentioned, only the initials of that child should be used.”); LR IC 6-1(a)(3) (“If an individual’s  
16 date of birth must be included, only the year should be used.”); and LR IC 6-1(a)(5) (“If a home  
17 address must be included, only the city and state should be listed.”).

18 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

- 19 1. Respondents must file redacted publicly available copies of the documents filed as  
20 ECF Nos. 14-2, 14-3, 14-5, 14-9, 14-13, 14-16, 14-21, 14-22, 14-23, 14-24, 14-26,  
21 14-28, 14-40, 14-49, 15-2, 15-16, 15-20, 15-22, 15-33, 16-38, 17-1, 17-6, 17-14,  
22 17-20, and 32, in compliance with LR IC 6-1(a)(2)–(5) by March 10, 2023; and  
23 2. The clerk of the court shall seal the ECF documents listed in paragraph 1 of this  
24 Order.

25 DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023.

26  
27   
28 LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE